G. R. S. 591 GPB—3,000—20-7-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Muzrai)

File No. 399.

Serial Nos. 1—12.

Papers Filed.

Month April 1909

SUBJECT.

Lease of Chintalwadi Swasthya belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Muz. 8]		190 .

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Lease of Chintalwadi Swasthya belonging to the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt.

From

The Government Agent

Nos 56 & 60		Dated 18th May and 9th June 1908.

The reports from the Government Agent relate to the leasing out of the lands belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt in Chintalwadi, Kulittalai Taluk, Trichinoply District. The present lease of 5 years terminates in the month of July. The lease is for Rs. 450, out of which Rs. 63 which is the interest on the security deposit of Rs. 700 paid to the Matt by the lessee is deducted by him and Rs. 387 paid to the Matt. It is stated that the lands in question have been in the possession and enjoyment of the present lessee and his ancestors for the past over 50 years and that they were instrumental in obtaining the lands for the Matt by means of litigation which went up to the High Court when the right of the Matt was opposed in former years. On my giving out my opinion orally some time ago that the lease would be put up to auction so as to secure the highest possible income to the Matt, the present lessee has offered to pay Rs 600 per annum and prays that the lease may be continued to him. He points out the inconveniences owing to floods etc, in carrying out the cultivation, the fact that the lands have been in his family for the past ½ a century and begs that this enhanced amount which he can pay only with much difficulty may be kindly accepted without having recourse to any auction.

The Government Agent has given strong reasons for complying with the request of the petitioner. He thinks that Rs. 600 is a very fair offer that higher bids, if any, would be offered by persons opposed in interest to the Matt merely with a view of removing the present lessees and that if such persons get into possession, much trouble and inconvenience may arise in the manner explained by him.

Subba Narasimhachar, one of the karbharies of the Matt put in a petition stating that there were persons (without mentioning names) who would offer Rs. 850 & more and that the lease had better be put up tp auction. The report of the Government Agent on this petition also is herewith submitted. He reiterates the reasons formerly given and advises the acceptance of the present lessee's offer.

The lessees represented their case in person before the Second Councillor some days back.

Upon a consideration of the case, I am of the humble opinion that it is desirable in the best interests of the Matt to continue the lease in the hands of the present lessees. The present lessees have been very regular in the payment of their lease amount annually, they have been instrumental in getting the lands restored to the Matt and have been lessees for about ½ a century. The increased offer made by them is very fair but perhaps , we might add another Rs50 to the amount and fix the annual lease at Rs650/-. The period of the lease may be for 5 years. Besides this, they will have to pay the Government dues of Rs. 906 per annum.

A security deposit of Rs. 1000 may be obtained and placed in the Government Savings Bank, the savings bank interest thereon being allowed to be drawn by the lessee at the close of the contract. The deposit of Rs. 700 already obtained by the Matt from the lessee in former years has now to be refunded to the lessee and the balance of Rs. 300 /- obtained from him and the whole of Rs. 1000 placed in the Savings Bank. The net result of the present recommendation is:-

Present lease amount	450
Deduct interest @9% on Rs 700 security deposit.	63
Balance actually received	387
Proposed future lease amount	650
Difference . - . . .	263 profit

to the Matt.

The

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190
	The interest on the deposit of Rs. 1000 will be paid by the Saving's Bank and not by the Matt.

545
14-6-08

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor.

784
15-6-08

The lease may be renewed for 5 years in favor of the present lessees at R, 650 per annum

KPN
15/6/08

Early

Draft an Order at once

Communicate to the Petnr to the Swamigalu and to the Govt Agent 15/6/08

Issued vide Pro. No 5768-9 of 22nd June 1908

Note contd

Orders were, as directed above, issued in Pro: No 5768-9

6

dated the 22nd June 1908 renewing the lease of the Matt lands in Chintalwadi to Naraniah & Raghavendriah for a period of 5 years at Rs 650 per annum. Slip Z

Dorasamiah & 2 others have in their Petn to the 2nd Councillor stated that they are prepared to give a Gutta of Rs 800 per annum and request that the lease may be granted to them. On the Petn the 2nd Councillor has been pleased to enquire "why was it leased for Rs 650? Here is an offer of Rs 800?" Slip Y

It is respectfully submitted that the circumstances relating to the lease of this Chintalwadi Savartiga belonging to the Matt were considered in all its bearings and orders issued.

Subbd 49/16-7-08. Submd to 2nd C for perusal

hb 17/7

C88 157

7

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | **File No.   of 190**

860
16-7-08

Call upon the Government Agent to report on the present Petition. We seem to be entirely in his hands in these matters. The Matt Agent said that there was an offer of Rs 850 and the Govt Agent argued against it!

16/VII/08

Call for a report

CB
16/7

Issue draft

CB
13/7/08

GA
Dis. sent
on 23/7/08

File No.   of 190   Muzrai Secretariat

Serial No.

To the Govt Agent, Sri Vyasaroyaswami matt.

Offl memo, no. 469, dated 28 7 08

Forwards the petition of Doraisami Iyer and two others referred to above, for a detailed report.

From the Govt Agent, Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt

Report no 44, dated 31st Dec

Reports that as the previous lease of Chintalvadi Swasthya belonging to the matt was to expire on the 15th July 1908, Narasiah and Raghavendriah who were the previous lessees, applied for a renewal of the lease for a fresh period of 5 years, on more favorable terms, and even represented the matter to the 2nd Counciller in person.

(2) that the Govt Agent went to the village and made enquiries if any better offers were forthcoming as was brought to notice by the matt Agent Subbanarasimhachar, but could find none.

(3) that on his reporting the above fact, the Govt were pleased to renew the lease in favor of Narasiah and Raghavendriah;

+ (4) that he did not however hasten to have the lease deed executed re until after the previous lease came to an end.

As regards the petition itself, the Govt Agent makes the following observations:—

(1) The petn purports to have been written by Doraisami Iyer, Krishna Iyer and Ayyavaiyar, and these

Serial
No.

are different from those who wrote the petition and that the petition is pseudonymous for the following reasons:-

(1) Doraisami Iyer's signature alone is found in the petition and this signature does not tally with that of the Village munsiff of Mahadanapuram as can be verified by a reference to a list of collections attested by the latter, (which the Govt. Agent has submitted)

(2) The petition bears the postmark of Karur a place 15 miles distant from Mahadanapuram. The Govt. Agent argues that there being a Post Office at Mahadanapuram, there was no necessity for the petitioner to go all the way to Karur merely to post the petition.

(3) The petition bears ~~post~~ ~~date~~ the 10th July 1908 as the date of ~~postage~~ posting, but the Govt. Agent says that from a letter to him from Narasing he learns that Krishna Iyer and Ayyava Iyer could not have authorised Doraisami Iyer to write the petn. for them, as the former had died on the 30th Octr. 1907, and the latter ought to have been on his deathbed, as he died on the 1st August 1908.

Finally the Govt. Agent identifies the writer of the petition with one ~~Go~~ Tinnevelly Gopalasami Achari, and that he wrote the petition at the instigation of Subba Narasimhappa, math

Serial
No.

for the following reasons:–

(1) Gopalasamiachar lives at Karur.

(2) He is an intimate friend of Subba Narasimhaghar

(3) He had himself requested the Govt. Agent on the 4th Octr 1907, to grant any lease to him. (The Govt Agent has subd the letter for refce)

(4) The Kanarese writing of this letter exactly tallies with that of the petition now under disposal

(5) The Tamil writing in his letter to him and in another to lessee Raghavendriah also corresponds with the signature in the present petition.

(6) It has been usual for Gopalaswamiachar to stipulate for commission from the lessees of matt lands, ~~[illegible]~~ and this can be verified by perusing the copy of a document executed by a lessee of the matt lands in the Madura Dt. Not finding the present lessees Narasiah and Raghavendriah ~~not~~ amenable to any nefarious transaction, this Gopalasamiachar and Agent Subba Narasimhaghar, have conspired together to write the present petition, in view to spite the Govt Agent and the present lessees.

Subd
15/10/08

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

12]
and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Submitted to the Land Commissioner

As already remarked by the Commissioner we are entirely in the hands of the Govt. Agent.

It is usual for such petitions to be received.

The matter may, I submit, be dropped

27/3/1909

Yes but please keep an eye on this Govt Agent & his work

27/3/09

Sub A.L.C.
27/3/09

File if there is nothing more to be done —
If an order is necessary put up again.

3/4/1909

7

—Contn. sheet.

Office of the Mysore Govt Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt
Dated Camp Kakabal, 24th December 1907

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

[illegible]

Please put up a note for No 27 soon.

4/1/08

Please call for the opinion of the Govt. Agent in this case.

2/1/08

CK

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Muzrai Departt.
Bangalore

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt.

Re: Lease arrangement to be made for Chintalvadi Swastya in the Kulathalai Taluk, Trichinopoly District.

Begs respectfully to report that the present lease of the above Swastya with Narasiah and Raghavendriah terminates on 15th July 1908. These Lessees have lodged with the mutt a deposit of Rs 700. For the current Fasli 1317 a sum of Rs 450 is due from the Lessees as Gutta. Deducting Rs 63 one years interest on deposit at 9 p.c per annum and Rs 36 the pay of Archik of Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Chintalvadi there remains a balance of Rs 357, which sum together with an additional amount of Rs 349 has to be paid to the Lessees towards the repayment of the Deposit.

2 The draft form of notice for calling fresh tender for Valavatti submitted with his Report No 26. Dated 24th December 1907 if approved of may also be adopted for publication in the Trichinopoly District Gazattee.

3. The wordings of the Tamil draft lease bond and Yedaradai submitted for Valavatti may also be adopted with some modifications to suit the local circumstances of this property.

4. The present Lessees represent that this Swastya had been lost to the matt some time ago through the cupidity of the former Lessees, the inhabitants of Chinlalvadi. Their ancistors fight out the cause of the matt in courts against the usurpers and recovered the property for the matt. In consideration of this service the Sri Swamies of the matt have all along been pleased to let the lease of this property remain in the family of these two persons. If public tenders are called for it may be possible that the property may go to the hands of the descendants of the usurpers, who only to

take the lease off the hands of the present Lessees may submit a tender much higher than the property is worth to be tendered for. They being poor respectable and honest persons cannot afford to risk beyond a reasonable figure. They therefore respectfully pray that in consideration of the help rendered by their ancistors in securing the property to the matt the further lease may be granted to them for a reasonable increase of Gutta. They say that the Swamigalavaru of the Matt will be able to speak to the fact of the help rendered by the forefathers of the present lessees and if needed they are prepared to bring a Srimukha from the matt to this effect.

Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

Narasimha Rao
w/ Pesker
24/6/08

51

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

మైసూరు గవర్నమెంటు ముజరా సెక్రిటారు

రవర జనా౹౹

కొరు జినాపళ్ళి జిల్లెకు డిస్త్రిక్ తాల్లూకా జింక

లవాడిగ్రామ, దళ్ళిఇరువరు ... గుత్తిగేదారరాస్తవేలు

ద్రైరాంయుర అజ్ఞానమగనరబంగరాంయురు బరదుకొండ

అర్జి

ఎవతక్షసేల

సోలెసలి ② వ్యాసరాంయుడు

లవాడి యుళ్ళి ఇరుత్తే

రిదశరికు ప్కి జమీనుగళు బం

మల్లండి గ్రామద గుత్తిగె వాయిదె బరువజూర్తె 16 నేరాం

ఇగెహ్మాకృజుక్తె. ఈ జమీనుగళిగె మతక్ష బందలాగా

యికుబిడిదిరి. బియుందనమ్మవంశరిగెగ గిస్తాదిల

నదళ్ళి ఇద్దుకొండు ఇదక్కె గవరుమెంప్రిదృవ్యాసు బేరాం

దిమరక్కకొండబేకాద గుత్తిగెయూవను తాలూకాలక్కొరు

టుక్కొండు జమీనిగెయూవ కొండు ఇల్లదేం కాసిరి

యాగె ఇటుక్కొండు ఇకెరరు అసురింసదాంకె కొమాడిరు

దుబరుత్తిదేవే. ఈ గసదరిగ్రామగళు మైసూరుసరా

రక్షిసలెరిరుక్తే. ఆయుంబ హాకారదవరీలె ఇన్నవము

బ్రామిగళన్న గుక్తిగ్గెనమగె కొడరి బిరోండబేకల

ఈ జమీనుగళు బందువేళిడ రాజుమూడిబ

నమగెద్దిలె గళాదమక్క దరూనమ్మహ్యాదినకక్షి

సబ కెంబఇరాదియుంద ఈ గనాపురూడు 4 తాంరూపా

యి గుత్తిగె గింతలూబం వేళిజాస్తిదర్జాన్నమాడి

బకుద ఊలగెజాస్తిగుత్తిగెకొండువవరు బందుఅద్రవ

ఎరదువరుషిగళు మాత్ర జాస్తికొండువరేలె యూ

వాగలూ ఈప్రకారకోరు లూరలు. ఈబ్రామిగళవు

నమగిరువరుకిగ లూ మకొప్పరిగలూ ఇ దిద్దరూ

గుత్తిగజా మూడబేలకొంబఇరాదే పద్ధారదవరిగె ఇరు

బ అంశలిద్దు గవరనమెంటే వజంటు రిగెనాపుగళుహేల

Off memo

Cpd
15 2

No. 3740 D/18.2.08
Encl

With reference to the Govt. Agent's Report No. 27 of the 24th Decr 1907 regarding the case of Chintalwadi Swastya belonging to the Sri Vyasa-rayaswami Matt, Petn. Regd No. 3159 of 5th January 1908 from Narasingarao is forwarded herewith to the Govt. Agent.

2. The Petr. states that ever since the lands in question were assigned to the Matt, they have been in the possession of the Petr's

family who have continued
to pay the Gutta due thereon
to the Matt regularly.
The Petr. is prepared to
pay a Gutta of Rs 600
in the future if he is
given the lease & prays
that the lands may not
be leased out by auction
as such a procedure
would tend to outsiders
bidding more on account
of ill will & thus deprive
the Petrs of their long enjoyment

3. The Govt. Agent
should submit a full

report on the Petn
and give his opinion
on the prayer at an early date

By order
7/12/08

Secy Mines Deptt
10/12/08

Entd in English to
the Petr

Camp Srirangum 19 May 1908

My dear Raoji,

C.V. Narasinga Rao brings to you my Official report re future lease of Chittalawadi Swastya. From a perusal of the report you will find it is not desirable to open public tender for very many reasons fully Explained in the report.

The Sri Swamigalavaru under the advice of the Karbharies made almost a present of a property worth Rs 30,000 at Srirangam by granting most uncalled for document to late Patgyani Rangachar of Srirangam.

Here is the Petitioner who honestly prays for your help by consenting to give you enhanced Gutta to the utmost of his ability & never aspires to deprive the Mutt of the property. Is it fair for you to withhold your help from him? By doing so is the Mutt benefitted? No, many times no. From Enquiries I find no one but the opponents in the old litigation is interested in bidding

higher than the Petitioner even
at a great loss with the obje
Eventually bamboozling the
of the property. There is one secret
point about ... which I
rather explain personally than
write to you. On this point
opponents appear to think that
they have a clear conscience
working by hook or by crook to
regain their lost property.
there are ees I strongly recom
your acceptance of the ?
~~Here~~ Offer Possibly the Kanbhoris: we
are bent upon declaring
Everything done by me Co
nable may ask Timmanully
Gopal Sainiachar to bid high
than the Petitioner. This man is
[illegible] worse than the
local [illegible] opponents at
Chintalwadi.

The Cost for publishing Gaz
notification will be about [illegible]

of all tenders, which will be a mere waste of money and from which no benefit is derived by the mutt.

As I am so near to Kumbha-Konam & Valavatte I shall finish remaining business there in two or three days time & then return to Bangalore.

The application for rice for Rathochavam at Dhara puram came to me after the festival was over. There is no hurry ~~about~~ for my going there just now. I shall go there after wedding in my house is over on 5th June 1908 to report upon the application.

Please read this letter to our beloved master.

Hoping that this will find you all in health & happiness. I am simply roasted here with excessive heat.

Very sincerely yours
K. Raghavendrachar

P.S.

The Petitioner did not go to
the Karbharis to beg for help.
If you do not uphold his fair
cause there will be an impress
on the minds of people that
those who go to Karbhari
for help will gain their d
howsoever disadvantageous
it may be to the
ap in
those who go to the Agent
to the Muzrai Secretary with a
beneficial to the matt
fair application fail in their
endeavour. Such an
impression is detrimental
to my mission. Had Petitioner
gone to the Karbharis in the first
instance they wd have supported
so strongly even to accept the
offer for even the [illegible]

Received
4/6/08

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.

No. 56
Enclosures 3.

DATED, Camp Srirangam, 18th May 1908.

Put up a short note soon.
1/6/08

4861
1/6/08

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— Government order No 3740 dated 18th February 1908

Subject :— Application by C. V. Narasinga Rao of Chintalawadi praying that the lease of Chintalawadi Swastya may be granted to him for 10 years at an annual rental of Rs 600.

Sir,

In returning Petition Regd. No 3159 dated 5th February 1908 presented to you by C V Narasinga Rao nephew of Raghavendrayya and Narasiya, the present Guttadars of Chintalawadi Swastya forwarded to me with the above for report, I beg respectfully to submit herewith a statement from which it will be seen that the property of the Sri Vyasaraya Swami M at Chintalawadi village, in the Kulithalai Taluk

-of the Trichinopoly District consists of 38.67 acres of wet land and 0.68 acres of dry land cultivated with wet crop by bailing water.

2. The dry land property of the matt is peculiar at Chintalawadi. Though the dry lands of the village are the property of the mirasidars the holding of each mirasidar is not separately assigned to him. The lands are cultivated by Ryatas who are their virtual owners. The mirasidars according to the shares held by them pay the Sirkar rent every year, and afterwards recover from the cultivating Ryatas with a small addition for the right of cultivation. In the olden times the whole of the dry land attached to the village were divided into 90 shares of which 16 belong to the matt, the annual Sirkar rent for these shares being Rs 346. 1. 3. It is not therefore possible to specifically point out the dry land property of the matt at Chintalawadi.

3. It appears that in the olden times the Madhwa Brahmin residents of Chintalawadi were paying yearly cash endowments to the Sri Vyasaraya Swami matt. After a time some of the Madhwa Brahmins refused to pay to the matt the stipulated sum. A dispute then arose between the matt and these Brahmins.

Amongst the latter two parties were formed in different branches of the same family of which one party sympathised with the Claim of the Matt and the other opposed the same A genological tree Consisting the members of this family, is here to attached from which it will be seen that the present Guttadars and the Petitioner are the descendants of the sympathisers with the Claim of the Matt Their ancestors Madhwa Row and Srinewasachar supported the Matt's claim while the members of the other Branches of the same family Viz; Rangachar, Bhoovarahachar, Ramachar and Vasudevachar disputed in the Courts of Law the right of the Matt to the lands. In the Original and appeal Courts the claim of the Matt was rejected but in the High Court of Judicature Madras the Matt's Claim was upheld. Although the highest tribunal of the Presidency awarded the decree it was difficult to Madhwa Rao and Srinivasachar to obtain possession of the decreed property as nearly the whole of the village was against the Matt. After a patient work of several years these two persons succeeded in getting the possessi the lands from the hands of the oppo

Ever since then these two persons and their descendants cultivate this Swastya on Gutta tenure and have been regularly paying the Matt's dues.

4. From local enquires at Chintala wadi I find that except the representatives of those from whom the lands were recovered in the past and the village Karnam Dorasawmi ayer, are likely to bid for the right of cultivating these lands. The lands of these persons are so intimately interwooven with those of the Matt so much so that they both in many instances bear the same name. If these lands once again were to go to their hands I ~~am~~ am afraid that encroachments very difficult to prevent might occur.

5. By careful investigation I have ascertained almost exactly the yield of the each Survey number and have personally acquainted myself with the nature of each piece of land. They may be classified thus:—

1st Class wet lands 9.62 acers.
yielding by home farming 177 Kallas of paddy and 115" Gutta
2nd Class do 13.12 acers 234 do 177 do
3rd class do 12.20 do 176 do 126 do

4th class wet lands 0.50 acres

yielding by home farming, [illegible] and oddly by Gutta

5th class Do 1.97 Do 0 Do 5 Do

Total — 38.01 Do 593 Do 125

Besides the above 0.66 acres of dry land is Cultivated with wet crop with water irrigated by bailing which yields a sum of Rs 10 Gutta per year.

6. The produce from the first class lands measuring 9.62 acres is certain every year while the yield from the lands classes 2 to 4 measuring 26.42 acres is precarious as these lands are more or less Subjected to innundation during the freshes in the river Kaveri The lands Coming under classes 3 to 5 Consist of Soil mixed with lime stones which are Considered to be inferior for growing paddy. Moreover the whole of the 5th. class land measuring 1.97 acres remain waste as the Channel water does not flow as far as this land to irrigate it. An assessment of about Rs 17 is paid for the land to Sirkar in vain.

7. I have ascertained that in a year in which the Cultivation has been fairly good the Guttadar Can.

expect to get a harvest of about 593 Kallas[x] of paddy from two crops by home farming or 425 Kallas of paddy by subletting lands for Gutta. The system at present obtaining is mostly home farming. Assuming their fair average yearly harvest is 593 Kallas of paddy the value thereof will be Rs 1779 at an average price of Rs 3 per Kalla. This rate is fair as I understand that paddy grown in Chintalawadi are of inferior varieties known by the names of Waalan and Kuruvai. Sumba is the superior variety for the growth of which Chintalawadi lands are not adapted.

[x] A Kalla of p is equal to 43 p-es, each paddy grain weighing h. By Mysore me a Kalla is e to about 70 s

(a) One years yield in Kallas		593
Deduct		
(b) Reserve for seeds, Kallas	40	
(c) Cultivation labour, Kallas	70	
(d) Manure (Kuppay & Soppu) " Kallas	33	143
(e) Remaining Kallas		450
(f) @ Rs 3 per Kalla		Rs 1350
(g) Value of straw		" 50
(h) Poradi in:		" 75
(i) yield from dry land on which wet crop is raised by baling water		10
(j) Profit from the [illegible] cerion Cultivation of dry lands		" 350

(k) Total Rupees 1835

Deduct.

(l)	Sirkar assessment Rs	906	
(m)	Future gutta per year offered by petitioner	600	
(n)	Loss in interest to guttadar on deposit of Rs 1000 @ 9% per annum	" 90	1596

(o) Profit to guttadar per year Rupees 239.

The above profit is not much for 3 persons who now work the property each person gets about Rs 80 per year. The property cannot be worked by a single hand being extensive. Two or three persons should necessarily be employed on it. The minute details of working the property will shew that it is not possible for any one to work the swastya for a much greater profit considering the constant loss of crop sustained owing to inundation of the major portion of the land. No one can therefore bid higher than the Petitioner with an honest motive of gaining profit on the business

8. The only persons who may possibly venture to offer more even at a loss to them are the descendants of those persons from whom the property was recovered.

under the authority of the High Court Decree. As already represented above in para 4 it is not desirable to let them again take possession of the property which was recovered from them at a great Cost and hard labour of many years spent in litigation. Moreover the business being unprofitable, the propability is that they would necessarily pick up quarrel the proceeds of the Sewastya not being sufficient to pay up gutta. It is feared that much Complications might arise from the unprofitable nature of the undertaking to bidders higher than the Petitioner.

9. Further, it will be necessary in the Course of the future Contract to work for partitions of dry lands which are now worked on Samudaya principal which is not profitable. No new Contractor can be trusted to do this work honestly and much less to the opinions of the Matt.

10. Under the foregoing Circumstances it is certainly advantageous to the Matt in every way to accept the offer of the proposal made by the Petitioner. The present Contract is for

50 minus Rs 63, interest on the Security deposit of Rs 700 at 9% per annum, or Rs 387 net. By accepting the Petitioner's offer there is a clear profit of Rs 213 to the matt per year. He prays that the contract may be given him for 10 years which is far too long a period. The Contract may be given for 5 years on condition of his lodging Rs 1000 as Security Deposit in the Mysore Government Savings Bank and agreeing to accept what interest the Bank may allow him under the Bank rules.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Raghavendrarao
Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt.

Geneological tree of the family shewing the sympathisers and opponants in the dispute the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt Swastya at Chintalawadi.


Apparayacharru.
Ramachar
Venkataramanachar
Narasappachar
Rangachar (Defendant I)
Bhoovarachar (Defendant II)
Ramachar (Defendant III)
Vasudevachar (Defendant IV)
Naranachar. (no issue)
Krishnachar (no issue)
Madhwa Row (Matt party I) (1st Guttadar I)
N
Ramachar & 3 others (alive) (opponents.)
Subba Row kornam of Mayapuram alive (Opponent)
Sundararajachar
Kuppachar (alive) (Opponent)
Narasiyya (2nd Guttadar I)
Sriniwas char (Matt party II. 1st Guttadar II)
Venkataramaniah & 3 others (alive) Opponents.
Padmanaba Row (opponent)
Subba Row (opponent)
Venkatara-manachar (Now at Perigal & Mysore)
Venkata Subbia
Ragha (2nd Guttadar)
C. V. Narasinga Row Petitioner
Seshaiyer (Defendant V)
Sami
Dorasawmi Iyer (Village Headman of Chintalawadi) and three others (Opponents)

Camp Srirangam }
18 May 1908 }

Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt

Total	Yield of paddy in Kallas By farming	 By Gutta	
11 „	14	11	Portions of these lands are Elevated grounds. irrigation by gravitation is impossible. Cultivation is [illegible] on on these heights by bailing water.
19 13 „	25	20	
39 9 „	40	25	
8 8 „	10	7	All these 7 numbers consist of inferior soil and also subjected to inundation.
17 3 „	27	23	
48 „	60	40	
4 7 „	176	12	
„	6	4	
.			
1 11 „			
17 0 „			Formerly cultivation by bailing water from the water running in of Pillai Paleyam Channel was going on. Water from this channel has now been refused on the ground that these lands do not come under the Atchkat of this Channel. They belong to the atchkat of Padaghai Channel but the water of this channel does not flow as far as these lands. Consequently they have been remaining waste for the last 5 years paying single crop assessment in vain. (These remarks refer to Survey Nos. 140, 76 and 112)
4 „		–	
23 10 „	„	„	
506 „ „	5 3	425	
506 6 „	–	–	
„ 8 „		–	
506 „			
31 10 5			
21 1 7			
5 10 „			
346 7 3			
905 11 3			

M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vy [illegible]

Statement shewing the lands held by the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt in Trichinopoly District.

Survey No.	Survey Letter		Dry or wet	Rate	Name of land	Extent A	Extent C	As for	
119	1	4	Dry	3 „ „	Khaivirichan	„	66		–
80	1	3	Wet	10 „ „	Natchamargundu	„	30	3	„
—	3				Keel Mungiladi	.	70	7	„
	4				Mel Mungiladi	1	37	13	11
90	11				Madalarma	1	14	11	6
92	3				Kattumadalarama	0		4	„
105	2				Moonugundu	0	45	4	8
—	9				Mavadigundu	0	20	„	„
117	2				Papathi Moolai Odai	2	54	2	
120	1				Kosavangudi	1	8	10	3
123	3				Valgundu	0	25	2	8
	6				Kammandar	1	1	11	14
					Total	9	2	96	2
27	6	4	Wet	9 . .	Moommudi thiruthu	0	42	3	13
93	1				Kanakanarama	1	16	10	7
.	3				Chinnandi arma	„	67	6	1
	5				Korai Arma	1	11	10	–
94	5				Feia Tas	1	30	11	11
95	5				Mulai Aurandaram	1	78	16	„
96	6				Keelai Aurandaram	1	49	13	7
100	3				Nál Leendu	0	76	6	13
—	6				Thanni Thorai	1	49	13	7
124	2				Keel Thanni Thorai	1	34	12	1
133	2				Veladi Manamatti	2	20	19	13
					Total	13	2	123	9

llage of Chintalwadi, Kulitalai Taluk

ent Second rop		Total			Yield of paddy in Kallas home	By gutta Rs	
3	„	3		„		10	
	„	4	8	„	5	4	
	„	10	8	„	15	8	
	„	20	9	„	30	20	
11	„	17	1	„	25	18	
„		6	„	„	8	4	
4	„	6	12	„	6	4	
„		3	„	„		2	
11	„	38	1	„	40	28	About 30 cents of this need lowering for irrigation by gravitation.
6	„	16	3	„	20	15	
4	„	3	1	„	4	2	
5	„	1	13	0	2	13	Low land, subjected to constant inundation.
		4	3	0	1	118	
	„		11	„		4	Do. Do. Do.
4	„	1	11	„	18	18	
„	„	9	1	„	12	7	
„	„	1	„	„	15	13	
4	„	17	9	„	25	14	
„	„	24	„	„	30	25	
11	„	20	2	„	27	22	
7	„	10	4	„	12	7	
11	„	20	2	„	25	20	Nearly always under water during cultivation season.
1	„	18	2	„	25	20	
4	„	29	11	„	40	27	3/4th of this is low land subjected to inundation.
	„	18	5	„	234	177.	

Survey No.	Survey Letter	Tharam	Dry or wet	Rate			Name of land	Extent A	Extent C	For 1st Crop			For
128	3	5	wet	8	..	..	Therkku Mana Matti	0	95	7	10	..	3
	6						Mel Vannamparai	1	73	13	3	.	6
129	1						Theevu Kundu Vannamparai	3	30	26	6	..	13
130	3						Mamarathu Kani	0	71		11	..	
131	3						Kadapungani	1		11	7	..	
138							Periya Sengad Karai						
							Ses'aien Sengadi	4	8	3	10	..	16
							Total	12	20	6	15	..	48
140	3	6	wet	7	..	..	Kallu Kundu	..	50	3	8	..	1
76	3A	5	wet by bailing water	8	..	..	Valeppadugai	..	14	1		..	..
		4					Do.	1	47	11	1	..	5
112	2						Do.	0	36	2	14	..	1
							Total	1	97	1	12	..	
							Grand Tot	38	6	33	1	..	168

Government assessment as per Village accounts for
miscellaneous
Total

Land cess

Railway cess

Total

Assessment on Samudayam dry lands cultivated
by village union of which $\frac{16}{90}$ths belong to the 321 .. ..
Land cess 20 1 ..
Railway cess .. 3
Grand total R

[illegible] 25/5/08

M.
25/5/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4780
25-5-08

Vyasaraja Mutt
Balepet, Bangalore
25 May 1908

From
Subbanarasimhachar,
Secretary to the
Sri Vyasaraja Mutt.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department

Sir,

I hear that the Government Agent of Sri Vyasaraj Mutt for the possessions in British India has arrange for a leasement of Chintaladi Village (Trichinopoly District) for an annual receipt of Rs 650. In my recent tour in the Trichinopoly District I came to know that there are persons who volunteer themselves to pay an annual contribution of Rs 850 and an advance of Rs 1000. If the leasement be left open to the public in an auction I believe that people may come forward to pay a sum much more than what I represent. Under these circumstances and considering the beneficial side of the Matt I am directed to request you to throw open the leasement of the said village in an auction where my presence will be necessary to settle it subsequently.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Secretary to the
Sri Vyasaraj

51.

Urgent

Offl. memo

The accompanying Petition Regd no 4780 d/ 25th May 1908 received in this Office from Subba Narasimhachar, Karbhari of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt representing that if the lease of the Chintalvadi village, Trichinopoly Dt. is given out by public auction it will fetch a larger amount is forwarded to the Government Agent Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt in continuation of this Office No 3740 d/ 18/2/08 for early report

By order
[illegible] 29/5/08
Secr

W 2/6/08

No. 5452 D/4.6.08.
Encl.

To the Govt. Agent
Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

No. 5453 Inform the Karbhari of the Matt by Endt.

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Urgent

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.

No. 60
Encl 1

DATED Camp Mysore 9th June 1908.

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— Govt order no 5452 dated 4th June 1908 – forwarding for early report Communication Regd no 4780 dated 25th May 1908 from Subba

~~Subject~~ :— narasimhachar Kharbhari of the Sri Vyasarayaswami matt representing that if the lease of Chintalwadi Swastya were given out on — contract in a public auction sale it would fetch a larger amount of rent to the matt.

Sir,

In returning the communication above — referred to I beg respectfully to report that judging from the data collected by me by local enquir anent working of the Chintalwadi Swastya which I submitted with my report no 56 dated 18th May 1908 a rent of about Rs 600 per year appears to me to be reaso able as it leaves a margin of modarate profit to the Lessee in return to his trouble for working the Swasty The payment of any higher rent would make the — Lessee not only to forego the profit but also work the property for a loss if the Swastya lands are subjected to more frequent inundations in the river Kaveri. Knowing that the Lessees have an amount of risk to run in the working of the Swastya lands the Matt, in fairness, should not wish to get every thing without leaving something to the Lessees for their trouble and risk.

2 At Chintalwadi the descendants of those from whom these lands were recovered by a lengthy civil action in former days are still contemplating to take possession of these lands by some means or other. It is possible that these persons may offer a higher rent even though it may be a loss to them and the Kharbhari being aware of this fact appears to have purposely avoided to make a mention of the names of parties who are willing to offer a rent of Rs 850. But I have already explained in my report no 56 dated 18th May 1908, that accepting offers from persons hostile to the interest of the Matt would eventually lead to complications and difficulties and to this explanation I have nothing more to add. It is still my belief that those who wish to work the Swastya for a moderate profit cannot offer a higher rent than about Rs 600. A threat to the present Lessees to the effect that they will be deprived of the possession of the long enjoyed Matt property might perhaps ~~induces~~ force them to pay Rs 50 or even Rs 100 without regard to any profit or loss on the business. I would have given a stress to this point in my previous report but knowing as I do, that the future Lessees do not expect any interest from the Matt as heretofore on the Security deposit of Rs 1000 they have to sustain a loss of Rs 90 per year in the shape of interest, I had not the heart to press the point vigorously on them

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

MPTROLLER'S OFFICE
24. JUN 1908
Read:

MUZRAI.

[illegible]

Petition Registered No. 51[illegible], dated [illegible] January 1908, from C.V. Narasinga Rao, praying on behalf of the present lessees that the lease of Chintalwadi Swastyam in the Kulittalai Taluk of the Trichinoply District belonging to the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matt may be continued to the present lessees, viz, Narasiah and Raghavendriah.

2. Petition Registered No. 1760, dated 15th May 1908, from the Karbhari of the Matt, requesting that the lease of the above named village may be put up to auction.

3. Reports Nos. 58 & 60, dated 16th May and 9th June 1908, from the Government Agent reporting on the above.

Order thereon No. 5768-9 Dated Camp Mysore 22nd June 1908

The Government Agent of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, reports that the present lease of the lands belonging to the Matt in Chintalwadi, Kulittalai Taluk, Trichinopoly District, terminates in the month of July 1908 and that the lease is for Rs450 out of which Rs63 which is the interest on the security deposit of Rs700 paid to the Matt by the lessee is deducted by him and Rs 387 paid to the Matt. He further reports that the lands in question have been in the possession and enjoyment of the present lessee C. V. Narasinga Rao and his ancestors for the past over 50 years and that they were instrumental in obtaining the lands for the Matt by means of litigation which went up to the High Court when the right of the Matt was opposed in former years. It having been stated some time ~~time~~ ago that the lease of those lands would be put up to auction so as to secure the highest possible income to the Matt, the present lessees offered to pay Rs600 per annum and prayed that the lease may ~~might~~ ~~may~~ be continued to them. They point out the inconveniences owing to floods etc, in carrying out the cultivation, the fact that the lands have been in their family for the past half a century and beg that the enhanced amount which they can pay only with much difficulty may be kindly accepted without having recourse to any auction.

2. The Government Agent, in his reports read above, gives strong reasons for complying with the request of the petitioners He thinks that Rs600 is a very fair offer, that higher bids , if any, would be offered by persons opposed in interest to the Matt merely with a view of removing the present lessees and if such persons get into possession, much trouble and inconvenience may arise in the manner explained by him.

3. Subba Narasimhachar, Karbhari of the Matt put in a petition stating that there were persons (without mentioning names) who would offer Rs850 and more and that the lease had

better be put up to auction. With reference to this petition also, the Government Agent reiterates the reasons formerly given and recommends the acceptance of the present lessees' offer. The lessees on whose behalf C. V. Narasinga Rao petitioned Government also represented their case in person before Government.

4. Upon a consideration of the case, the Government deem it desirable in the best interests of the Matt to continue the lease in the hands of the present lessees. The present lessees have been very regular in the payment of the lease amount annually, have been instrumental in getting the lands restored to the Matt and have been lessees for about half a century. The Government are therefore pleased to direct that the lease of the Chintalwadi Swastya be renewed for 5 years in favor of the present lessees Narasiah and Raghavendria at Rs660 per annum. They should deposit a sum of Rs. 1000 in the Government Savings Bank as security for the due payment of the lease amount and also pay the Government dues amounting to Rs. 906 odd per annum. As however, a deposit of Rs. 700 has been already obtained by the Matt from the lessees in former years, this amount will be drawn from the Matt Funds and refunded to them. They will be now required to deposit the sum of Rs. 1000 now directed to be deposited as security. The Saving's Bank interest on the sum of Rs 1000/- thus deposited, will be allowed to be drawn by the lessees at the close of the lease.

5.

5. The Government Agent is requested to obtain from the lessees a regular lease deed duly executed and Registered and also the amount of security and report the result of the action taken to the Muzrai Secretariat.

18/6/08

S E C R E T A R Y.

To,

The Government Agent, Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

" Comptroller to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

5770

Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Department.

Dated Camp Mysore. 22nd June 1908.

Endorsement granted to C. V. Narasinga Rao, Chintalwadi village, Kulittalai Taluk, Trichinoply District, with reference to his petition Registered No. 3159, dated 25th January 1908, praying that the lease of Chintalwadi Swasthya belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale in the T. Narsipur Taluk, may be given out to the present lessees, Narasia and Raghavendria.

--------------------:o:--------------------

In reply, the petitioner is informed that the Government have been pleased to renew the lease ~~for 5 years~~ of the village in question in favor of the present lessees for 5 years at Rs 650/- per annum. The needful instructions have been issued to the Government Agent of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt to take further action in the matter.

By Order,

19/6/08

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

No. 126 D/10.7.08.
Recd.

శ్రీమత్పరమహంసపరివ్రాజ
కాచార్యవర్య[illegible] అనేకబి
రుదాంకిత [illegible] శ్రీ[illegible]
[illegible] శ్రీ [illegible] శ్రీ
[illegible] శ్రీ [illegible]
శ్రీ తాం. చంద్రశేఖరశాస్త్రి —

[illegible]

శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 084 [illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible]

650 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 700 [illegible]

[illegible] 1000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Draft

CB 7/7/09

Secretary Muzrai
Deptt

Rep
Return with reply

Muzrai

Why was it leased for 650 Rs? Here is an offer of Rs 800

11/7/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
235
13.7.08
SECRETARIAT

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 16 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (1000 - 18 [illegible])

[illegible]

To M. R. Ry.
Puttanna Chettiar
Councillor of
Mysore Government
Bangalore

PM
JUL 2

24/7

Draft as per Gr's orders

Muzrai 511.

No. 4690/28.7.08
En. one.

Official Memo

The accompanying petition Regd. No. 235, dated 11th July 1908, received from Doraisamaiyya and two others of Mahadanapura village in the Kulittalai Taluk of Trichinopoly District, stating that they are prepared to give a gutta of Rs 800 per annum for the Chintalwadi Swasthya in the same Taluk belonging the Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt, and praying that the lease of the said Swasthya may be granted to them, is forwarded to the Govt. Agent of the Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt, in continuation of Govt. Pro: No. 5768-9, dated 22nd June 1908, with the request that a detailed report may be submitted. ~~as to why he recommended to Govt in favour of granting the lease to~~

~~Narasiah and Raghavendriah~~

for ~~Rs 650/-, while there was an~~

~~offer of Rs 800/- for the same~~.

~~2 An early reply is requested~~.

By order,

G's order)

23/7

Secretary

23/7/08

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

C K Char

Please receive Rs 650/-
received herewith
and remit the same
to the Treasury to the
credit of the Matt.
by
5/8/08.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.

No. 8

DATED Camp Kulitalai 27th July 1908.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
582
6/8/08
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :—

Subject :—

Sir,

As directed in Govt order no 5768-9 dated 22nd June 1908 I respectfully beg to report that the lease of Chintalwadi Swastyam has this day been renewed for a period of 5 years from 1st July 1908 to 30th June 1913 for an annual rental of Rs 650 payable in two instalments of Rs 325 each. The first is to be paid on 31st December and the second on 31 May of each year or Fasli. The document has executed in the names of Raghavendra Iyer and Narasa Iyer and at their request the name C. Narasinga Rao, as he has also contributed towards the deposit, has also been included. The new lease dee. with Yadiaradai has been duly exe-cuted and presented to the Sub Registrar of Kulatalai for registration

2 The Lessees have under the old lease deed deposited with the Matt Rs 700. A sum of Rs 300 to make up the Security deposit to Rs 1000, has this day been received from the three Lessees and forwarded duly insured in currency notes as noted below. I request that the sum of Rs 700 may be drawn from the Matt funds and the whole amount of Rs 1000 may be deposited in the Mysore Govt Savings Bank as security deposit of Chintalwadi Swastyam.

3 The receipt of the currency notes may be acknowledged.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

1	B B / 24	59148	of Madras	14th Decr 1905	Rs	100.0.0
1	B B / 24	59146	of Madras	14th Decr 1905	Rs	100.0.0
1	T A / 68	93214	of Madras	7th March 1904	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 92	86758	of Madras	6th Novr 1905	Rs	10.0.0
	T A / 67	18604	of Madras	5th March 1906	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 70	65813	of Madras	9th March 1904	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 93	51587	of Madras	7 Nov 1905	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 94	89071	of Madras	4th Nov 1905	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 88	372510	of Madras	1st Nov 1905	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 93	76513	of Madras	[illegible] Nov 1905	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 95	10267	of Madras	9th N 1905	Rs	10.0.0
1	T A / 94	22153	of Madras	[illegible] Nov 1905	Rs	10.0.0
12				Total	Rs	300.0.0

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.

No. 9 DATED Camp Kulitalai 28th July 1908.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
583
6/8/08
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :—

Subject :—

Sir,

I respectfully beg to enclose currency notes as noted below for Rs 350 being the amount of rent recovered from Chintalwadi Swastya for Fasli 1317 and to request the favor of an acknowledgment for the receipt of the

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

1	B.B/85	62918	of Madras	23rd Nov 1905	Rs	100 0 0
1	B B/8	44364	of Madras	23rd Nov 1905	Rs	100 0 0
1	B B/8	67116	of Madras	23rd Nov 1905	Rs	100 0 0
1	J.A/69	31932	of Madras	8th March 1904	Rs	10 0 0
1	J A/96	72256	of Madras	9th Nov 1905	Rs	10 0 0
1	J A/94	46004	of Madras	8th Nov 1905	Rs	10 0 0
1	J A/76	45801	of Madras	12th April 1902	Rs	10 0 0
1	J B/95	38788	of Madras	9th Nov 1905	Rs	10 0 0
8					Total Rs	350 0 0

7cd

Muz 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

~~L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,~~
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To
The Treasury Officer
Bangalore Dt

Dated 18th August 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 749
Muzrai F. No.

Rs 650/-

Enclosure No Separately.

Has the honor to remit herewith Rs 650 received from the first agent of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt being the ~~revenue~~ rent fee recovered from the lessee of the Chintalwadi Swasthya belonging to the Matt and to request that the same may be credited

GBP—3 000 copies 31-9-0

the Treasury to the
ead 14 Miscellaneous
Funds 'Muzrai Funds'
& Sub. head 'Vyasaraya Swamy
Matt'.

By order

13/8
for Secretary
Muzrai Deptt

10/8/08 No. 750

Copy to the Comptroller
751
& to the Govt agent
~~Secy~~

By order

13/8
Secretary

Tel.
44

[illegible] No. 789
5/1/09
[illegible]

Regr. & submit with refce. Transfer to Br. VII
continue note & submit
4/1/09

D513 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1908 [illegible] 28 [illegible] 469 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 450 [illegible]
[illegible] 5 [illegible]
[illegible]
1908 [illegible] 15 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] C.V.
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 850 [illegible]

[illegible] —

[illegible] 1000 [illegible]

[illegible] 650 [illegible]

[illegible] 1908 [illegible]

22 [illegible] 5768.— 9 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] —

[illegible] 190 [illegible] 27 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] —

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Post Office [illegible] Post [illegible]

[illegible] 10 July 1908 [illegible] 15 [illegible] 15 [illegible] ? [illegible]

2 [illegible] 1908 [illegible] 10 [illegible] Post mark [illegible] 24 [illegible] C. V. [illegible] 1907 [illegible] 30 [illegible] 1908 [illegible] 1 [illegible] Constitutional arrangement

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Petition [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

೧ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Pseudonymous [illegible]
[illegible]

೨ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Pseudonymous [illegible]
[illegible] 10-10-06 [illegible]
[illegible] Tamil [illegible]
Tamil [illegible] Pseudonymous [illegible]
Tamil [illegible]
[illegible] Pesudonymous [illegible]
[illegible]

೩ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 110 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]
650 [illegible] 5 [illegible] 3250 — • — 0

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 450 [illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible] 2250 — 0 — 0

[illegible]

[illegible] 100 — 0 — 0

700 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
63 [illegible] 315 — 0 — 0 415 — 0 — 0 1835 — 0 — 0

[illegible] } 1415 — 0 — 0

[illegible] 31 [illegible] 1908 [illegible]

M. Raghavendra Rao
[illegible] Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraja [illegible]

3.03 1[illegible] [illegible] 1312 [illegible] 61. 3.0 } [illegible]
54[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 3 5.9
17[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 5 5.5
7[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 2.12.0
[illegible] 72.10.2

1.6.03 1[illegible] [illegible] 1312 [illegible] 1-5-8 [illegible]

13.12.03.55[illegible] [illegible] 1313. [illegible] 0 7.6 [illegible]

13-12-03 55[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 0 0 6 [illegible]

[illegible] 12.03 1[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 110-14-0 [illegible]

11.2.02	1[illegible] [illegible] 1311	[illegible]	[illegible]	32	8	9	[illegible]
	17[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	1	6	
			[illegible]	32	10	3	
23.2.02	1[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	18	2	2	[illegible]
4-2 02-	53[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	7	0	
			[illegible]	18	9	2	
14-3.02	1[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	26	13	1	[illegible]
20.3.02	1[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	38	4	5	
	17[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	2	10	1	
	7[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	1	12	3	
	53[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	1	11	6	
22-3.02	7[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	8	7	
			[illegible]	65	[illegible]	[illegible]	
10-5-02	1[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	5	1	7	[illegible]

மாசம், தேதி	பட்டாதாரனே செலுத்தாத பட்சத்தில் இன்னாருக்காக இன்னான செலுத்தினது.	இன்ன பசலிக்காக.	பாபத்துகள்.	தொகை.			மணியகாரன், கர்ணனுடைய கையெழுத்து.
1	2	3	4	5			6
5-02	1 [illegible]	1311	[illegible]	1	6	6	[illegible]
	[illegible]		[illegible]			2	[illegible]
			[illegible]	1	6	8	[illegible]
2-02	1 [illegible]	1312	[illegible]	90			[illegible]
2-02	54 [illegible]		[illegible]		10	6	[illegible]
1-03	1 [illegible]	1312	[illegible]	5			[illegible]
1-03	54 [illegible]	1312	[illegible]	2	8	3	[illegible]
-03	[illegible]			15	6		[illegible]
2-03	[illegible]			5	8	11	[illegible]
03	1 [illegible]	1312	[illegible]	55	3		[illegible]
	17 [illegible]			5			
03	54 [illegible]			1			
			[illegible]	61	3		

No 2/ R [illegible] 32

[illegible]

22-12-08

[illegible]

[illegible] C.V. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1907 [illegible]

[illegible] 30 [illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible] 1908 [illegible]

[illegible] 1 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

C.V. Narasaiyachari

To,
Mr. R. Ry.
Raghavandra Rao Avergal.
Vyasaraya-Mutt Govt. Agent
Mysore Muzarai Department
Tirukoyilur
Tinnevelly.
Tirunelvali (Dt)

THREE PIES THREE PIES

TINNEVELLY
10. A.M DELY
6 OC.07

TINNEVELLY
5 OC 07
5 PM

TIRUKOILUR
4 P.M. DEL
8 OC.07

9 30 AM DELY
4 OC 07

7 OC.07

Note this
when notices
are issued
calling for
tenders for
vessels [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] to
this man
9/10/07

శ్రీ

4 Oct. 1907.
Karur

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

నమస్కారం.

Address.

Sasale Gopalaswamy Achar
40. Sreenivasapuram
Karur.

POST CARD

To

Mahade

K. Kaderbatcha Sahib & Co., Stationers, Rulers, High Class Binders, Acc
Iron Trunk Manufacturers & General Commission Agents, Amman Sanna

1902 సం॥ [illegible] 30 తేదిన్ని [illegible]

శ్రీరంగపట్టణం తాలూకా [illegible]

[illegible] భాస్కరశాస్త్రి [illegible]

[illegible]

రామభాస్కరశాస్త్రి [illegible] వెంకటరామయ్య [illegible]

[illegible] 150 రూపాయలు [illegible] 1901 సం॥ [illegible] 2 [illegible] Agreement [illegible] 150 రూపాయలు [illegible] 40 రూపాయలు [illegible] Agreement [illegible] 150 రూపాయలు [illegible] 40 రూపాయలు [illegible] 110 రూపాయలు [illegible] 1314 సం॥ ఫసలీ [illegible] 1315 సం॥ ఫసలీ [illegible] రూపాయలు 1100 [illegible] 1314 సం॥ ఫసలీ [illegible] రూపాయలు 110 [illegible] 1327 సం॥ [illegible] 13 [illegible]

1901 సం॥ [illegible] agreement [illegible]

[illegible] రూపాయలు 110 [illegible] రూపాయలు [illegible]

Translation from Tamil
M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Govt Agent